

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,117		06/20/2003	John Stanley Lovell	2060-92	9132	
22442	7590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
SHERIDA	AN RO	SS PC	CINTINS, IVARS C			
1560 BRO	ADWA	Υ			D. DED 177 (DED	
SUITE 12	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER,	, CO 8	30202	1724			
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4,90			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,117	LOVELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commit D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.	,				
Disposition of Claims			~			
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	=	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No	ge			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152	2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· Principle of the				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/600,117

Art Unit: 1724

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13 and 26-38, drawn to a sorbent particle, and process for its manufacture, classified in class 502, subclass 60+.
- II. Claims 14-24, drawn to a process for removing an ionic contaminant from a fluid, classified in class 210, subclass 679.
- III. Claim 25, drawn to a pure fluid, classified in class 423, subclass 580.1.
- IV. Claims 39-50, drawn to a system for purifying an aqueous stream, classified in class 210, subclass 287.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group I could be used in another process, different from that of Group II. For example, this material could be used as a catalyst in hydrocarbon cracking operations.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of Group III could be made by another process, different from that of Group II. For example, this pure fluid could be produced by distillation.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,117

Art Unit: 1724

Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of Group II could be carried out in another apparatus, different from that of Group IV. For example, the sorbent particles could be mixed with the fluid undergoing treatment, and then separated therefrom with a screen, or other filtering device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Douglas W. Swartz on December 16, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made; instead, Mr. Swartz requested a written requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins
December 16, 2004